

# The HikerNet

Principle, Applications and Simulation

Wolfgang Leister, Norsk Regnesentral

NUUG Møte  
Oslo, 18. august 2005




## When telecommunication is out of reach ...

- ▶ Telecom infrastructure in remote areas not available
  - The **telefonfjell** phenomenon ...
- ▶ Use of satellite connections is too expensive
- ▶ Use of P2P ad-hoc messaging can build an alternative infrastructure
- ▶ all participants contribute and share task of message delivery
  - Mountain hiking
  - Developing countries
  - Sea, Jungle, ...
  - Cheaper messages
  - Games




## Basic Idea for the HikerNet

- ▶ **People move and meet!**
- ▶ All participants carry a device
  - Integrated into cell phone or other items
  - Messages are carried with the device
- ▶ When participants meet messages are exchanged automatically using radio transmission
- ▶ Message replication
- ▶ Handy as user interface




## Related Technologies

- ▶ DakNet  
(MIT MediaLab)
- ▶ ZebraNet Wildlife Tracker  
(U Princeton)
- ▶ Mobile Ad-hoc Networks (manet)  
(IETF Working Group)
- ▶ FleetNet
- ▶ Cybiko Wireless Chat
- ▶ Email, SMS, MMS, ...
- ▶ Peer-to-Peer: Gnutella, Freenet, Eternity Services, ...




# Principles for the HikerNet

- ▶ Ad-hoc peer-to-peer
- ▶ Store and forward of messages
- ▶ Use movements of participants
- ▶ Non-time critical messages only




# HikerNet

- ▶ Based on roles: Terminal, H-node, N-node
- ▶ User writes message on terminal
- ▶ H-node handles messages for **one** user
- ▶ N-nodes transport the messages



## HikerNet (2)

- ▶ To types of messages: MSG, ACK
- ▶ Messages identified by unique ID
- ▶ Protocol parameters
  - TTL (times to live)
  - TTR (times to replicate)
  - Expiry date



## Extensions to the HikerNet


- ▶ Stationary N-nodes (message hubs)
- ▶ Stationary relays (N-nodes with several manifestations)
- ▶ Bridges (stationary relays that connect larger areas)
- ▶ Gateways (to other services, e.g., Internet email)
- ▶ Broadcasting (radio) of messages with carousel
- ▶ Publicly available terminals
- ▶ Attach N-nodes to moving objects / animals

## Service examples

- ▶ messaging (text, images)
- ▶ Voice, message service
- ▶ Automated messages (traffic, public transportation, ...)
- ▶ News messages
- ▶ Collective collecting of data (traffic info, movies)
- ▶ Tracking (GPS/timestamps messages)
- ▶ Anonymous chat
- ▶ Games and communities (collecting music?)

## The Prototype Implementation

- ▶ HikerNet implementation written in C for Linux
- ▶ hnagent (uses pipes for input / output)
- ▶ can use “adapter” for protocols
- ▶ can use pendrive for transporting messages




# Security in the HikerNet

- ▶ Security =
  - Confidentiality + Integrity + Availability
- ▶ Important for the HikerNet:
  - Tracability / Authenticity
  - Anti-Spam
  - Privacy (HikerNet can unwantedly leak information)
- ▶ Encrypted messages
- ▶ National / international legislation


# Message Format

- ▶ Messages are encrypted with message key
- ▶ Only receiver address and necessary information in visible header



## PKI for HikerNet


- ▶ Each H-node has private/public key pair
  - Encryption / authentication
- ▶ Central server keeps data base of public keys
  - Request public keys from server
  - Mechanisms for changes of public keys



## Can HikerNet work?


- ▶ Simulation of the HikerNet
  - before deployment
- ▶ Parameters
  - system parameters (TTL, TTR, Expiry date)
  - #users / #nodes
  - Which hardware (memory, processor, ...)?
  - Delivery time
  - How many messages do arrive?

# Topology of the simulated network



## Simulation Design (1)


- ▶ Nodes communicate once a day, at the cabins
- ▶ All nodes move to a neighboring cabin once a day
- ▶ Choice of next cabin:
  - Random neighboring cabin
  - Weighted neighboring cabin (dependent on #beds)
- ▶ Stationary nodes





## Simulation Design (2)

- ▶ There are simulators for movements of hikers in mountain areas!
  - AlpSim (Gloor, Maun, Nagel, 2003)
  - RBSim (Gimblett, Richards, Itami, 2001)
- ▶ Used for applications in tourism
- ▶ Take interest in area into account



## Architecture of the simulator


- ▶ Simulation designed by Erlend Garberg @ Ifi
- ▶ Two components
  - Hiker-movement component
    - Simulation of hiker movements, meetings
  - Communication simulation (CS)
    - Simulates communication between nodes
    - Message generation
    - Calls existing HikerNet prototype
- ▶ HikerNet implementation written in C for Linux
- ▶ Simulation written in python

# Measurements

- ▶ Delivery time
- ▶ Percentage of arrived messages
- ▶ Memory usage
- ▶ Number of messages in network
- ▶ Do stationary nodes have an influence?


# Results – Delivery time

- ▶ Delivery time is reduced when number of nodes increases.
- ▶ Delivery time is reduced when TTL is larger (significantly for TTL < 10)
- ▶ Average delivery time graph stabilizes towards 4 days, and for TTL=9 and 250 nodes.




## Results – Jumps

- ▶ While **delivery time** is **reduced** when number of nodes or TTL increases,
- ▶ The mean number of **jumps** **increases** at the same time.
- ▶ Reason: TTL limits number of jumps; however: pathes with additional jumps are faster in time.




## Results – Arrival rate

- ▶ Arrival rate of messages rises when number of nodes increases
- ▶ Arrival rate of messages rises when TTL (up to TTL<10)
- ▶ After one week over 80% of the messages have arrived.




## Results – Number of messages in network / Memory usage

- ▶ The number of messages in the network rises when number of nodes increases.
- ▶ The number of messages in the network rises for larger TTL-values.
- ▶ Memory usage and number of messages are proportional.



## Results – Stationary nodes

- ▶ Stationary nodes reduce the number of nodes necessary for the same performance.
- ▶ For small numbers of nodes stationary nodes give better performance.



## Conclusions

- ▶ For sufficient number of users (>100) the average delivery time is close to optimal delivery time.
  - It takes >10 days until all messages have arrived.
  - The users must accept that messages do not arrive.
  - The users must accept that delivery time varies.
- ▶ Performance is dependent of topology.
- ▶ Hardware requirements are modest.
- ▶ TTL=9

## Future work and considerations

- ▶ Implement security-infrastructure
- ▶ Implement HikerNet in Java for mobile phones
- ▶ Adjustments of the HikerNet to other applications and scenarios
- ▶ Games / Communities
  - Distribution of music, like collector cards
  - Communication hotspots attract other business
  - Is communication speed high enough for today's user in mass market?